```
ANDREW C. GREEN, ESQ.
   Nevada Bar No. 9399
   NATHANIEL T. COLLINS, ESQ.
   Nevada Bar No. 15027
   KOELLER NEBEKER CARLSON
   & HALUCK, LLP
   400 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 600
   Las Vegas, NV 89101
   Phone: (702) 853-5500
   Fax: (702) 853-5599
   Andrew.green@knchlaw.com
   Nathaniel.collins@knchlaw.com
   Attorneys for Defendant,
   LIBERTY INSURANCE CORPORATION
   erroneously sued as LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE
   and LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CORPORATION
 9
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                               DISTRICT OF NEVADA
11
   DARLENE CARTER, an individual; and
                                           ) CASE NO.: 2:19-cv-01779-APG-BNW
   DAVID BIANCO, an individual
12
                                            STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
13
                            Plaintiffs.
                                            THIRTY (30) DAY EXTENSION OF
                                            DATES WITHIN SCHEDULING ORDER
14
   VS.
                                            [FIFTH REQUEST]
   LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE, a foreign )
   entity, LIBERTY INSURANCE
   CORPORATION, a foreign corporation,
   DOES I –X, ROE CORPORATIONS I-X,
17
   inclusive,
                            Defendants.
18
19
          COME NOW, Defendant, LIBERTY INSURANCE CORPORATION erroneously
   sued as LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE and LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE
20
   CORPORATION (hereinafter also referred to as "Liberty Mutual" or "Defendant"), by and
21
   through its attorneys, the law firm of KOELLER, NEBEKER, CARLSON & HALUCK, LLP,
   and Plaintiffs, DARLENE CARTER and DAVID BIANCO (hereinafter "Plaintiffs"), by and
   through their attorneys of record, Steven Mack, Esq., of GIBBS GIDEN LOCHER TURNER
24
25
   SENET & WITTBRODT LLP and hereby submit this joint stipulated request to extend the
   time for the remaining discovery deadlines by thirty (30) days.
26
          As an initial matter, the parties specifically note for the Court the instant request to
27
   extend time and discovery is in direct response to impacts upon witness availability, and
28
```

Page 1 of 5 609522v3

specifically availability of plaintiff's for depositions and resulting delay for analysis of their testimony as stated was desired by plaintiff's litigation expert witnesses. In particular, the prior scheduled deposition for Ms. Carter, and completion of the deposition of Mr. Bianco as previously scheduled to complete prior to the close of discovery were delayed due to the emergency need for each to temporarily leave the state to provide care to family.

A. Statement of Completed Discovery.

The parties have performed initial disclosures of witnesses and documents as well as supplemental disclosures of documents that were obtained via subpoenas to non-parties. The Defendant served subpoenas for records from the Custodian of Records for non-parties Affordable Home Services d/b/a D Best Plumbing, Ariat Roofing, Inc., Belfor USA Group, Inc., City of Las Vegas Department of Building & Safety, DALLASWHITE Corporation, Desert Home Electric, Eco Electric, FieldAware, Innovation Group, Nevada Contractors Board, TLC Roof Services, and Williams Electric. Of the non-parties served with subpoenas, Affordable Home Services d/b/a D Best Plumbing, Belfor USA, City of Las Vegas Department of Building and Safety, DALLASWHITE Corporation, Desert Home Electric, Eco Electric, FieldAware, Innovation Group, TLC Roof Services, and Williams Electric have responded and provided documents. The parties subject to subpoenas requested extensions of time to respond to provide documents, resulting in delayed receipt of materials. All of the documents received in response to subpoenas have been produced to Plaintiffs. Defendant also commenced deposition of Plaintiff David Bianco, and was previously scheduled to depose Plaintiff Darlene Carter, and to complete deposition of Plaintiffs' experts. Whereas deposition of Mr. Bianco commenced October 28, 2020, the deposition could not complete at that time due to electronic transmission issues related to conduct of the deposition via zoom.

Plaintiffs also served interrogatories and requests for production of documents, and responses have been served. Plaintiffs and Defendant have also retained litigation consultants; Plaintiffs have also served subpoenas on Innovative, Belfor and Dallaswhite. Plaintiffs have also conducted multiple depositions including Innovative representatives, Belfor

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

representatives, Dallswhite representatives, Defendant's representative, August Nardoni, and employees, Matt Degelormo, and Wanda Chambers.

experts, and Defendant's

B. Statement of Discovery that Remains to be Completed.

Defendant commenced deposition of Plaintiff David Bianco, and was previously scheduled to depose Plaintiff Darlene Carter, and to complete deposition of Plaintiffs' experts. Whereas deposition of Mr. Bianco commenced October 28, 2020, the deposition could not complete at that time due to electronic transmission failures related to conduct of the deposition via zoom. Whereas deposition of Ms. Carter was scheduled to proceed November 9, 2020, the deposition was continued due to a family emergency requiring both Plaintiffs to travel to care for family (in Michigan). Related to those delays, Plaintiffs' designated expert, Joanna Moore, has stated need to analyze the deposition testimony of Plaintiffs for her opinions, resulting in corresponding delay of that deposition. Ms. Moore also stated need to complete analysis of deposition testimony of Defendant personnel Matt Degelormo and Wanda Chambers for her opinions and testimony, and was awaiting receipt of transcription of those materials which had not yet been provided by the stenographer at the time of prior attempt for her deposition. The parties are in agreement to permit those depositions to proceed and conclude to address these issues.

C. Statement Supporting the Necessity of Extending Dates within the Scheduling Order.

Prior extensions and delays were encountered and requested to accommodate both parties' adherence to guidance of the Center for Disease Control and the Court for implementation of restrictions to avoid spread and contraction of spread of COVID-19. Those challenges in turn contributed to initial delay and then further family emergency delay occurred due to Plaintiffs' need to leave the state to care for family. The stated need for Plaintiffs' litigation expert to analyze the deposition testimony by Plaintiffs and Defendant's personnel resulted in corresponding delay for deposition of the expert witness that was otherwise previously scheduled. These interruptions and delays have been beyond the control of counsel and the parties, and were not anticipated, and the parties are in agreement to permit the depositions to proceed and conclude to address the prejudice from the unintended obstructions.

The parties submit the emergent and unanticipated family needs and their agreement to cooperate to address the resulting complications warrant extension as requested herein, and for which the parties have already agreed to schedule the remaining proceedings to complete within.

D. Proposed Revised Schedule

With a thirty (30) day discovery extension of the remaining discovery deadlines, as well as the total time for discovery, the new discovery cut-off date will be **January 18, 2021.** The Parties propose to extend the remaining discovery deadlines in this case by thirty (30) days, and the resulting changes to the scheduling order will result in the following:

<u>Close of Discovery:</u> Currently, the close of discovery is December 18, 2020. The proposed cut-off date is **January 18, 2021**, although expert report updates could extend beyond this date depending on the completion of the depositions.

///

///

///

Page 4 of 5 609522v3

Case 2:19-cv-01779-APG-BNW Document 29 Filed 12/22/20 Page 5 of 5

1	<u>Dispositive Motions:</u> Dispositive motions will be made no later than February 18 ,	
2	2021, which does not exceed the outside limit of thirty (30) days following the	
3	discovery cut-off date that LR26-1(b)(4) presumptively sets for filing dispositive	
4	motions.	
5	Pretrial Order: The Joint Pretrial Order shall be filed by March 18, 2021, which is no	
6	later than thirty (30) days after the date set for the filing of dispositive motions.	
7	DATED this 18 th day of December, 2020.	DATED this 18 th day of December, 2020.
8	KOELLER, NEBEKER, CARLSON & HALUCK, LLP	GIBBS GIDEN LOCHER TURNER SENET & WITTBRODT LLP
9	By:/s/Nathaniel T. Collins	By: /s/Steven Mack
10	ANDREW C. GREEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9399	STEVEN MACK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4000
11	NATHANIEL T. COLLINS, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 15027	1140 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 300
12	400 S. 4 th Street, Suite 600	Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
13	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Defendant,	DARLENE CARTER and DAVID BIANCO
14	LIBERTY INSURANCE CORPORATION erroneously sued as LIBERTY MUTUAL	
15	INSURANCE and LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CORPORATION	
16	0.77	
17	ORI	<u>DER</u>
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Tenbucker NITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19		Dogombor 22, 2020
20		ATED: December 22, 2020.
21	Respectfully Submitted by:	
22	KOELLER, NEBEKER CARLSON & HALUCK, LLP	
23	By: /s/Nathaniel T. Collins	
24	ANDREW C. GREEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9399	
25	NATHANIEL T. COLLINS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 15027	
26	400 S. 4 th Street, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89101	
27	Attorneys for Defendant, LIBERTY INSURANCE CORPORATION	
28	erroneously sued as LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE and LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CORPORATION	

Page 5 of 5 609522v3